

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 2:10-CR-00401-PMP-PAL

8 Plaintiff,)

9 vs.) **ORDER**

10 LARRY GOODALL,)

11 Defendant.)

12
13 Having read and considered Defendant/Petitioner Goodall's Motion for Relief
14 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255(f)(3) & (4) (Doc. #81) filed June 6, 2014, and the Government's
15 Response in Opposition thereto (Doc. #83) filed July 11, 2014, and finding that for the reasons
16 set forth in the Government's Opposition, Goodall's petition must be construed as a successive
17 section § 2255 Petition which has not been authorized by the United States Court of Appeals
18 for the Ninth Circuit, this Court is without authority to consider the instant petition and it must
19 therefore be denied.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 DATED: July 28, 2014.

22
23 
24 PHILIP M. PRO
25 United States District Judge
26